Best arm identification, average treatment effect through fluid based methods

Sandeep Juneja, TIFR, RL Workshop, IISc Bangalore Feb 27, 2024



Joint work with Agniv Bandyopadhyay, TIFR And Shubhada Agrawal, Georgia Tech ATE work with Achal Bassamboo, Vikas Deep (Northwestern)



Best arm selection problem

Given K unknown probability distributions that can be sampled from, find the distribution with the largest mean, using fewest samples while keeping the probability of false selection to $\leq \delta$



- An intuitive overview

Optimal top 2 algorithm - chasing the fluid limit

Which coin has the highest probability of heads? Stop sampling when you are 95% sure





















Applications: Clinical trials



• Four vaccines (or experimental drugs). Which ones to give to patients

Applications

- Placing advertisements on a Google search
- Web construction amongst many options
- Recommendation systems
 - Movies to recommend
 - Facebook posts to show
 - News paper articles to bring to your attention
 - Price to offer for a digital good
- Travel route to recommend amongst many









































Selecting the best player



To separate prob. 0.6 from 0.4 with 95% certainty need around 150 samples

Estimating mean to ϵ accuracy with error probability $\leq \delta$

ATE estimation is similar

Best arm selection problem

find the distribution with the largest mean, using fewest samples while keeping the probability of false selection to $\leq \delta$

Given K unknown probability distributions that can be sampled from,

Popular algorithm



Our friend: Hoeffding

Each $X_i \in [-1,1]$ are independent, identically distributed with zero mean

Law of large numbers, Central limit theorem

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\approx 0$$

Hoeffding's Inequality captures large deviations -

$$P\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\geq\epsilon\right)\leq\exp(-n\epsilon^{2}/2).$$

$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}N(0,1)$$



The successive rejection algorithm for arm rewards in [0,1]

Dar, Mannor, Mansour 2006

- 1. Sample each arm once 2. If at sample t, $\bar{X}_{\max}(t) - \bar{X}_i(t) \ge 2\alpha_t$

Repeat till one arm left

then remove arm j from consideration. $\alpha_t = \sqrt{\frac{4 \log(Kt/\delta)}{t}}$

Isolating the high probability tubes that contain sample averages



$$\alpha_t = \sqrt{\log(Kt^2/\delta)/t}$$

Best arm never rejected $\bar{X}_1(t) \ge \mu_1 - \alpha_t$ $\bar{X}_a(t) \leq \mu_a + \alpha_t$ So $\bar{X}_a(t) - \bar{X}_1(t) \le 2\alpha_t - (\mu_1 - \mu_a)$



Lower bounds and algorithms that match even the constant in the lower bounds

A trivial lower bound

- Suppose each arm receives $\log(1/\delta)^{\alpha}$ samples for $\alpha \in (0,1)$.
- Consider large deviations approximation for sample average

 $P(\bar{X}_n \approx a) \approx \exp(-nI(a))$ where I(a) > 0 for $a \neq EX$

- If $n = \log(1/\delta)^{\alpha}$, then $P(\bar{X}_n \approx a)$
- Thus order $log(1/\delta)$ samples necessary

)
$$\approx \delta^{\log(1/\delta)^{1-\alpha}} > \delta$$
 for small δ

Large deviations result (Sanov's Thm.)

Green is the true distribution μ . Red is the empirical distribution ν (based on generated samples

 (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)

Probability of seeing empirical distribution ν when the true distribution is μ is

 $\approx \exp(-nKL(\nu \mid \mu))$

where
$$KL(\nu \mid \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \nu_i \log\left(\frac{\nu_i}{\mu_i}\right)$$



Lower bound: A heuristic argument

- Two arms: Observed distributions from N_1 and N_2 samples are $\hat{\mu}_1(N_1)$ and $\hat{\mu}_2(N_2)$
- With high probability, each $\hat{\mu}_i(N_i) \approx \mu_i$, so that if
- $m(\hat{\mu}_1) > m(\hat{\mu}_2)$ suggests that $m(\mu_1) > m(\mu_2)$
- As a skeptical scientist, you wonder its likelihood if true distributions are
 - $(\nu_1, \nu_2) : m(\nu_1) < m(\nu_2)$

Likelihood under alternate hypothesis Let $A^{c} = \{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2} : m(\nu_{1}) < m(\nu_{2})\}$ Worst-case likelihood of incorrect assessment max $e^{-N_1 KL(\hat{\mu}_1 | \nu_1)} e^{-N_2 KL(\hat{\mu}_2 | \nu_2)}$ $(\nu_1,\nu_2)\in A^c$ max $e^{-N_1KL(\mu_1|\nu_1)+N_2KL(\mu_2|\nu_2)}$ \approx $(\nu_1,\nu_2)\in A^c$

This needs to be kept less than δ

In many arms setting

Let $A^c = \{\nu = (\nu_1, ..., \nu_K) : m(\nu_1) < \max m(\nu_i)\}$

Worst-case likelihood of incorrect assessment. $\max e^{-\sum_{i\leq K}N_iKL(\mu_i|\nu_i))}$ $\nu \in A^c$

This needs to be less than δ .

The lower bound optimisation problem



$\inf_{\{\nu:m(\nu_1)<\max_{i\geq 2}m(\nu_i)\}}\sum_{a\leq K}N_a KL(\mu_a \mid \nu_a) \geq \log(1/\delta)$

Minimize N_a \boldsymbol{a}

The Data Processing Inequality

$KL(P_X | Q_X) \ge KL(P_{g(X)} | Q_{g(X)}).$

$KL(P_{\mu}(X) \mid P_{\nu}(X)) \geq KL(P_{\mu}(I_{E}) \mid P_{\nu}(I_{E}))$

 $KL(P_{\mu}(X) | P_{\nu}(X)) = \sum_{\nu} E_{P_{\mu}} N_{a}(T) KL(\mu_{a} | \nu_{a}).$

a=1

The lower bound optimisation problem



$\inf_{\{\nu:m(\nu_1)<\max_{i\geq 2}m(\nu_i)\}}\sum_{a\leq K}N_a KL(\mu_a | \nu_a) \geq \log(1/\delta)$

Good time to Summarise!

Minimize N_a a

Simplifying the lower bound optimisation problem



Since $\{\nu : m(\nu_1) < \max m(\nu_a)\} = \bigcup_{a \ge 2} \{\nu : m(\nu_1) < m(\nu_a)\}$ $a \ge 2$

And distributions restricted to single parameter exponential family

 $\inf_{x \in A_{1}} N_{1}KL(\mu_{1} | \nu_{1}) + N_{a}KL(\mu_{a} | \nu_{a}) \ge \log(1/\delta), \text{ for } a \ge 2$

 $N_1 KL(\mu_1 | x_{1,a}) + N_a KL(\mu_a | x_{1,a}) \ge \log(1/\delta), \text{ for } a \ge 2 \quad x_{1,a} = \frac{N_1 \mu_1 + N_a \mu_a}{N_1 + N_1}$



When to stop: Generalized likelihood ratio based

Compute logarithm of

Maximum likelihood of data

Maximum likelihood of data under alternate hypthesis

This equals $\min_{\nu \in \hat{A}^c} \sum_{a \le K} N_a KL(\hat{\mu}_a | \nu_a)$

Stop when the statistic exceeds $log(1/\delta) + smaller$ order terms

Top-2 algorithms

Top two β optimal algorithms are gaining interest (DR 16, JDBHK 22)

- Index \mathcal{I}_a empirical version of $N_1KL(\mu_1 | x_{1,a}) + N_aKL(\mu_1 | x_{1,a})$
- 1. Please don't starve any arm
- 2. Select arm with largest sample mean with prob β .
- 3. Select challenger arm with smallest index with prob 1β
- 4. Stop (generalised likelihood ratio test) when



 $\min \mathcal{F}_a \geq \log(1/\delta) + \text{smaller order terms}$



Recall the lower bound problem mini

S. t. $N_1 KL(\mu_1 | x_{1,a}) + N_a KL(\mu_a | x_{1,a}) \ge \log(1/\delta) \forall a$

Has a unique strictly positive OPTIMAL solution that satisfies

 $N_1^*KL(\mu_1 | x_{1,a}) + N_a^*KL(\mu_1 | x_{1,a})$

 $\sum_{\substack{KL(\mu_1, x)\\KL(\mu_a, x)}} \frac{KL(\mu_1, x)}{KL(\mu_a, x)}$

imise
$$\sum_{a \le K} N_a$$

 $a \le K$
 $L(\mu_a \mid x_{1,a}) \ge \log(1/\delta) \quad \forall a$

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu_a | x_{1,a}^*) = \log(1/\delta) \quad \forall a$$

$$\frac{x_{1,a}^{*}}{x_{1,a}^{*}} = 1.$$

Optimal top 2 algorithm

g denotes empirical $\sum_{a} \frac{KL(\mu_1, x_{1,a})}{KL(\mu_a, x_{1,a})}$. \mathcal{F}_a empirical $N_1KL(\mu_1 | x_{1,a}) + N_aKL(\mu_1 | x_{1,a})$

- 1. Please don't starve any arm
- 2. If g > 1, sample arm 1

4. Stop when $\min \mathcal{I}_a \geq \log(1/\delta) + \text{smaller order terms}$ \boldsymbol{a}

3. If g< 1 sample arm with the empirical smallest index \mathcal{I}_a

After enough samples, the algorithm closely tracks a fluid path

Under fluid path

1) Empirical dist. $\hat{\mu}$ is equal to μ 2) Once g=1 it stays one 3) Once two indexes become equal they stay equal

Fluid view

Suppose after initial exploration, $g = \sum \frac{KL(\mu_1, x_{1,a})}{KL(\mu_a, x_{1,a})} > 1$



(Recall index $\mathcal{I}_a = N_1(n)KL(\mu_1 | x_{1,a}) + N_a(n)KL(\mu_a | x_{1,a})$ for total samples n)

- Smallest indexes increase at least linearly
- Larger ones increase sub linearly
- Once they meet they move together
- System becomes stationary once all indexes are equal





Key analysis step: Implicit function theorem

It shows that the fluid solution satisfies ODEs concatenated together

fluid path

The algorithm after sufficiently large samples closely tracks the

Implicit function thm works because Jacabian of constraints

$$\sum_{a} \frac{KL(\mu_{1}, x_{1,a})}{KL(\mu_{a}, x_{1,a})} = 1 \text{ And}$$

 $N_1KL(\mu_1 | x_{1,a}) + N_aKL(\mu_a | x_{1,a}) = I \text{ for } a \in B$

$$N_1 + \sum_{a \in B} N_a + \sum_{a \in B^c} N_a = N$$

with respect to $(N_1, N_a, a \in B, I)$ after transformations is invertible. Thus $(N_1, N_a, a \in B)$ of perturbed system are close by.



$$N_{1}' = \frac{N_{1}h_{B}}{(N_{1} + \sum_{a \in B} N_{a})h_{B} + N_{A}h_{B}}$$

$$N'_b = \frac{N_b h_B + d_{b,b}^{-1} h(N)}{(N_1 + \sum_{a \in B} N_a) h_B + d_B^{-1} h(N)} \text{ for all } b \in B$$

$$\text{Let } h_a = \frac{\partial g}{\partial N_a}, \quad d_{1,a} = d(\mu_1, x_{1,a}) \text{ and } d_{a,a} = d(\mu_a, x_{1,a}) \ h_B = \sum_{a \in B} h_a d_{a,a}^{-1}, \quad h(N) = \sum_{a \in B'/1} h_a N_a \quad \text{and } d_B = \left(\sum_{a \in B} d_{a,a}^{-1}\right)^{-1}.$$

 $d_B^{-1}h(N)$

Simulation





Estimating mean to ϵ accuracy with error probability $\leq \delta$

[BDJZ22]

Estimating mean to ϵ accuracy with error probability $\leq \delta$

- Sequentially generate iid samples $(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{\tau})$
- Stop when confidence interval $(\hat{\mu}_{\tau}^L,\hat{\mu}_{\tau}^R)$ with $\hat{\mu}_{\tau}^R-\hat{\mu}_{\tau}^L\leq\epsilon$
- Guaranteed to contains mean $\mu = EX_i$ with probability at least 1δ .
- We consider stable policies where $\ \hat{\mu}^R_{\tau}$ and $\hat{\mu}^L_{\tau}$ converge to deterministic constants as $\delta \to 0$

- Data from dist. μ , and confidence interval $(a, a + \epsilon)$
- Need enough samples to rule out that true mean is outside this interval

 $\max\left(\exp(-nKL(\mu, a)), \exp(-nKL(\mu, a + \epsilon))\right) \le \delta$

$$E\tau_{\delta} \geq \frac{\log(1/\delta)}{\min\left(KL(\mu, a), KL(\mu, a + \epsilon)\right)}$$



Estimating mean to ϵ accuracy with error probability $\leq \delta$

• Stop an n when

$$\mu_n^L = \min \left\{ q < \hat{\mu}_n : n \\ \mu_n^R = \max \left\{ q > \hat{\mu}_n : n \\ \mu_n^R - \mu_n^L \le \epsilon \right\} \right\}$$

 $nKL(\hat{\mu}_n, q) = \log^*(1/\delta) \}$ $nKL(\hat{\mu}_n, q) = \log^*(1/\delta) \}$

Best arm selection problem

find the distribution with the largest mean, using fewest samples while keeping the probability of false selection to $\leq \delta$

Given K unknown probability distributions that can be sampled from,

Controlling the probability of error [AJG20, AJK21] Recall we stop when $\min_{\nu \in \hat{A}^c} \left(\sum_{a < K} N_a K L(\hat{\mu}_a | \nu_a) \right) \ge \log(1/\delta) + small$

If you stop wrong, \hat{A}^c contains the true probability vector μ . Need to bound $P(\sum N_a KL(\hat{\mu}_a | \mu_a)) \ge \log(1/\delta) + \text{small, for any n})$ by δ . a < K

Ville's inequality cleverly used for this.

- Dual representations, exponential concave inequalities, mixture martingales,

Extending to general distributions

Consider

$\mathscr{L} := \{ \eta \in \mathscr{P}(\mathfrak{R}) : \mathbb{E}_{X \sim \eta}(|X|^{1+\epsilon} \le B \}$

. Define $KL_{inf}(\mu_a, x) = \inf_{\nu \in \mathscr{L}: m(\nu) > x} KL(\mu_a, \nu)$

Some conditions on the underlying distributions are necessary

Easy to find two distributions whose KL distance is arbitrarily close, but means are arbitrarily far.

These are difficult to separate

Some restrictions on distributions necessary - bounded, have sub-Gaussian tails, variance or other moments bounded



Understanding $KL_{inf}(\eta, x)$ It equals $\inf_{\kappa} \sum_{i} \log\left(\frac{\eta_i}{\kappa_i}\right) \eta_i$ such that $\sum_{i} |y_i|^{1+\epsilon} \kappa_i \le B, \quad \sum_{i} y_i \kappa_i \ge x \text{ and } \sum_{i} \kappa_i = 1.$

This is a convex program and is solved through Lagrangian duality.

Using duality, $KL_{inf}(\eta, x)$ can be seen to equal

 $\max_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\in\mathcal{R}_2} E_{\eta} \log(1-(X-x)\lambda_1 - (B-|X|))$

For empirical distribution $\hat{\mu}_{a}(n)$ we have $KL_{inf}(\hat{\mu}_{a}(t), m(\mu_{a}))$ equals $\max_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\in\mathcal{R}_2} \frac{1}{N_a(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{N_a(n)} \log(1-(X_i-m(\mu_a)))$

In developing concentration inequality for this, the maximum function poses difficulties. We observe that inside the maximum we have a sum of exp-concave functions.

$$X|^{1+\epsilon}\lambda_2)$$
, where

$$\lambda_1 - (B - |X_i|^{1+\epsilon})\lambda_2)).$$

Sum of exp concave functions: a useful inequality

A. Let $g_t : \Lambda \to \Re$ be any series of exp-concave functions. Then

$$\max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{t=1}^{T} g_t(\lambda) \leq \log E_{\lambda \sim q} e^{\sum_{t=1}^{T} g_t(\lambda)} + d\log(T+1) + 1.$$

Thus
$$\max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \exp\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} g_t(\lambda)\right) \text{ is close to the expectation } E_{\lambda \sim q} e^{\sum_{t=1}^{T} g_t(\lambda)}.$$

The latter is a mixture of super-martingales and hence is a super martingale.

Let $\Lambda \subseteq \Re^d$ be a compact and convex subset and q be the uniform distribution on

Ville's inequality

Ville's inequality: For a non-negative super martingale $(M_n:n\geq 0),$



Conclusion

Discussed the best arm identification problem, applications and a popular algorithm

Introduced the optimal top-2 approach and discussed its fluid behaviour

Argued that our algorithm closely tracks the fluid behaviour when generated samples are large

Discussed the mean estimation problem

Outlined how δ guarantees are shown